

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/005,399   | 12/05/2001      | David E. Petersen    | 120362(624226-322)      | 9413             |
| 29391  | 7590 11/18/2003 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| BEUSSE BROWNLEE WOLTER MORA & MAIRE, P. A. 390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE |                 |                      | NGUYEN, HUNG T          |                  |
| SUITE 2500   | ORANGE AVENUE   | ·                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ORLANDO,   | FL 32801        |                      | 2636                    | ,                |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003 | 5                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |                          |
|---|--|--|--------------------------|
|   | 10/005,399   | PETERSEN ET AL.  |                          |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |                          |
|   | Hung T. Nguyen   | 2636   |                          |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply  | on appears on the cover she  | et with the correspondence addre   | !SS                      |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status   | CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to beco  | nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).   | nunication.              |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or  | 1 <u>26 September 2003</u> .   |  |                          |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠   | This action is non-final.  |  |                          |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up   | illowance except for formal<br>nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935  | matters, prosecution as to the m<br>C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   | erits is                 |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |                          |
| 4)  Claim(s) 3-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 3-14 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction   | ithdrawn from consideration  |  |                          |
| Application Papers  |  |  |                          |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the office of the control of the option of the o      | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objecte to the drawing(s) be held in ab correction is required if the dra  | peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR  |                          |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |                          |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fa a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E  * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document as specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for document as | uments have been received uments have been received e priority documents have bear a list of the certified copies omestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge provisional application had mestic priority under 35 U.Sthe first sentence of the spenge priority under 35 U.Sthe | in Application No been received in this National State not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Dates been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a secondary secondary. | oplication)<br>ta Sheet. |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |                          |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N  | 48) 5) ☐ Notic   | riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15<br>::   |                          |
| Botant and Trademad. Office   |  |  |                          |

Art Unit: 2636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-12 & 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137).

Regarding claim 10, Orschek discloses a device for detecting the position of rail vehicle hand brake (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a circuit includes detector (50a,50b) as a switch for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a hand brake position (42) alarm responsive to the alarm signal (30,54,56) [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 18 ];
- a cable or chain linkage can be used for actuating a parking brake by hand [col.1, lines 11-24].

Art Unit: 2636

Orschek does not specifically mention a load path for supporting a weight of the drive chain by passing the switch as claimed by the applicant.

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Regarding claim 11-12, Orschek does not specifically disclose the hand brake detection further comprises an anchor bracket, pivot arm as mechanically components are applied in the parking brake system.

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 14, Orschek discloses a device for detecting the position of rail vehicle hand brake (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a circuit includes detector (50a,50b) as a switch for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a hand brake position (42) alarm responsive to the alarm signal (30,54,56) [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 18 ];
- a cable or chain linkage can be used for actuating a parking brake by hand [col.1, lines 11-24].

Orschek does not specifically mention a mechanism connecting the switch and the drive chain without supporting a weight of the drive chain through the switch as claimed by the applicant.

However, Orschek clearly discloses the parking brake detection (42) and warning system (30) which are couple to an electronic controller (52) may provide audible (56) and visual warning indicators (54) substantially prevents an operator of a rail vehicle from unnecessarily wearing down the parking brake system for locomotives at all time operating [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the system of Orschek for detecting / providing a hand brake position alarm at all time operating to the railway operator before a train is moved with a hand brake not fully released.

Art Unit: 2636

3. Claims 3-5 & 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570).

Regarding claim 3, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released / indicative of a hand brake of thr locomotive being engaged [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released // indicative of a hand brake of thr locomotive being engaged [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reverser detection device in non-neutral position for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reversed position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) in non-neutral position is inherently [figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status as desired.

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 4, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives may consider a master locomotive and slave locomotives for communicating [ figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status.

Regarding claim 5, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives may consider a master locomotive and slave locomotives for communicating [ figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];

Art Unit: 2636

- an alarm signal (54,56) / alarm circuit is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];

- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) / alarm circuit [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping or reverser position status.

Regarding claim 9, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) comprises a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) may consider a multiple of locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [ figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6 ];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Art Unit: 2636

Orschek does not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit and a reserve detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reverser position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) regardless the speed signal [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping and reverser position status.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of MacDonnell et al. (U.S. 3,854,417).

Regarding claim 6, Orschek discloses a method of alarming a hand brake of a rail vehicle (10) [ figs.1-3, col.2, lines 4-12, col.3, lines 23-51 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6].

Orschek does not specifically disclose the alarm is activated before the rail vehicle is moved with the hand brake engaged.

MacDonnell discloses an automatic visual hand brake system is used in a train of car will be turned on whenever the hand brake is engaged [figs.1-2, col.1, lines 22-40 and abstract].

Art Unit: 2636

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of MacDonnell in the system of Orschek for immediately detecting / monitoring the hand brake is engaged before the vehicle is moved.

5. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of MacDonnell et al. (U.S. 3,854,417) further in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570).

Regarding claims 7-8, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6].

Orschek & MacDonnell do not specifically mention the apparatus includes wheel slip circuit for monitoring sliding motion of the wheels as to prevent the slip from occurring and to notify that problem to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) for determining the presence of a slip and generating a slip indicative signal [figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, lines 45-67 and abstract]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the teaching of MacDonnell & Hosaka in the system of Orschek for producing a wheel slippage indicative signal when wheel slip is detected.

Art Unit: 2636

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orschek (U.S. 5,394,137) in view of Hosaka et al (U.S. 4,962,570) further in view of Hoover (U.S. 4,161,717).

Regarding claim 13, Orschek discloses a hand brake alarm apparatus (10) includes a plurality of trucks (16a,16b) as locomotives [figs.1-3, col.3, lines 11-22 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6] comprising:

- a detector (50a,50b) for detecting a brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.3, line 23 to col.4, line 8 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- an alarm signal (54,56) is activated to inform an operator cab (14) that the brake is not fully released [figs.1-3, col.2, col.3, line 65 to col.4, line 18 and col.5, line 43 to col.6, line 6];
- a controller (52) includes a memory device (58) for monitoring / controlling the hand brake alarm signal (54) [ figs.2-3, col.4, lines 1-23 ].

Orschek does not specifically mention the detection device includes a locked axle indicator and a reverser detection device for preventing the slip from occurring and providing a reversed position signals to the train operator.

Hosaka teaches a technique of using sensors (42,43) and a control unit (100) / logic device for controlling / determining the presence of a slip or the transmission is in reverse gear position at step (4130) [ figs.13-14, col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 15, col.25, line 45 to col.6, line 11 and abstract ]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of Hosaka in the system of Orschek for detecting / monitoring the wheel slipping or reverser position status.

The combination of Orschek and Hosaka is still missing the circuit includes a locked axle indicator for providing a warning signal if the sensed speed of one and only one of the axles is less than a predetermined low threshold level.

Hoover teaches a locked axle detector for monitoring speed sensors (21-24) whenever the

Page 11

vehicle is moving at a speed less than a predetermined low threshold level [col.1, lines 25-44

and col.5, lines 58-64]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

art to employ the teaching of Hosaka and Hoover includes a locked axle indicator in the system

of Orschek for providing a warning signal if the sensed speed of one and only one of the axles is

less than a predetermined low threshold level.

**Response to Arguments** 

7. Applicant's amendment filed on Sept. 26, 2003 have been fully considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-6796.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (703) 305-4717. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Examiner: Hung T. Nguyen

Date:

Nov. 15, 2003